Date(s) of Assessment:	Project:
Assessor(s):	Process Assessed:
Assessor(s):	Process Assessed:

		VN	EO	Comments
		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments
ASSE	SSMENT PREPARATION	1111		
1	Have standards been identified to clearly define the process assessment?			
2	Were guidelines used to prepare for the assessment?			
3	Has the project submitted any request for deviations or waivers to the defined process?			
4	Have entrance and exit criteria been established for the assessment?			
5	Were the appropriate stakeholders involved in this assessment?			
PROJ	IECT CONTROL ACTIVITY			
6	Has the scope of the project been estimated?			
7	Are project requirements identified?			
8	Are product requirements identified?			
9	Were Tasks and Work Products identified? Note: Work products may include task descriptions, work package descriptions, WBS, plans, and procedures.			
10	Has a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) been developed?			
11	Is the WBS based on the product architecture?			
12	Are Work packages in sufficient detail to identify the following project estimates:			
12a	Tasks?			
12b	Responsibilities?			
12c	Schedule?			
13	Are project effort and cost estimates established for tasks and work products?			

Revision: 1.0 Page 1 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation

		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments
14	As applicable, have the following			
	been developed:			
14a	Development concepts?			
14b	Mission Development Strategies?			
14c	Data Management Plans?			
14d	Acquisition strategies?			
14e	Space operations service agreements?			
14f	Launch service agreements?			
14g	Logistics Management?			
14h	Information Management			
	Technology?			
14i	Resource Management?			
14j	Earned Value Management?			
PROJ	ECT PLANNING PROCESS OBJECTIVES	S		
15	Is a Project Plan established?			
16	Is there evidence that the Project			
	Plan's content is maintained to reflect			
	any changes (e.g., work products,			
	resources)?			
17	Has a schedule been developed that			
	identifies major milestones, task			
	dependencies, critical paths, and			
	constraints?			
18	Has the project's budget been			
4.5	established?			
19	Have resources been allocated to			
	adequately implement the project			
20	planning process?			
20	Have training requirements been			
21	identified?			
21	Are risks identified, documented,			
	analyzed, prioritized, and updated, as			
	appropriate?		-	
22	Is there evidence of higher level			
	management review of the project			
	planning process?			

Revision: 1.0 Page 2 of 4

		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments
23 24 25	Is there evidence of work products, measures, measurement results, and improvement information derived from planning and performing the project planning process to support the future use and improvement of the organization's processes and process assets? Have commitments to the Project Plan been established and maintained? Has the project obtained commitment			
	from the stakeholders responsible for performing and supporting the plan's execution?			
POST	REVIEW ACTIVITIES			
26 27	At the conclusion of the assessment, is an understanding reached on the validity and degree of completeness of the Project Planning Process? Did all designated parties concur in the acceptability of the Project			
28	Planning Process? Are there any risks, issues, or request for actions (RFAs) that require follow-up?			
29	Is there a process in place for reviewing and tracking the closure of risks, issues, or RFAs?			
30	Have all artifacts been placed under formal configuration control?			
31	Were Lessons Learned addressed and captured?			
	REFERENCE ITEM	S/DOCUN	MENTS	
СММ	I Version 1.1, Guidelines for Process and Integ	ration and	d Produc	ct Improvement
NPR 2	7120.5B, NASA Program and Project Managen	nent Proce	esses and	d Requirements

Revision: 1.0 Page 3 of 4

Date(s) of Assessment:		_ Project:			
		Process Assessed:			
COM	COMMENTS PAGE of				
#	Comments from assessment				

Revision: 1.0 Page 4 of 4

Y=Yes, N=No, NA=Not Applicable, F=Finding, O=Observation